Review Article **Int J. Curr Inn Adv Res, Vol: 8, Issue: 3, 2025; 14-21**

International Journal of Current Innovations in Advanced Research

(International Multidisciplinary Tri-annual Research Journal)

Content Available at www.ijciar.com ISSN (O) 2636-6282 ISSN (P) 2659-1553

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN DISEASE PREDICTION AND DIAGNOSIS: A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW

Harshith.R[1], Pranathi.K[2], Navya.B[3], Kavitha.T[4], Sai Keerthi.K[5], Devi Vara Prasad.R[6], N.Hema Kumari.N[7]

Department of Pharmacy Practice, Hindu College of Pharmacy, Guntur.

***Corresponding Author**
Dr.N.Hema Kumari

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47957/ijciar.v8i3.207

Received: 11 Aug 2025 Revised: 02 Sept 2025 Accepted: 25 Oct 2025

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in healthcare, particularly in disease prediction and diagnosis, offering unprecedented opportunities to improve clinical outcomes and operational efficiency. This systematic literature review examines the current state of AI applications across various medical domains, focusing on its role in general disease diagnosis, cardiovascular diseases, cancer, and other specific conditions such as diabetes and Alzheimer's. We aim to synthesize existing research, identify key trends, and highlight gaps in the literature to guide future investigations. A rigorous methodology was employed to select and analyze relevant studies, ensuring a comprehensive evaluation of AI techniques, their performance, and clinical applicability. The findings reveal that AI models, particularly those based on deep learning and machine learning, demonstrate high accuracy in diagnosing diseases, often surpassing traditional methods. However, challenges such as data heterogeneity, interpretability, and integration into clinical workflows remain significant barriers. In cardiovascular diseases, AI excels in risk stratification and early detection, while in oncology; it enhances tumor classification and prognosis prediction. For chronic and neurodegenerative conditions, AI shows promise in personalized treatment planning. The review concludes that while AI holds immense potential, its widespread adoption requires addressing ethical, regulatory, and technical hurdles. Future research should prioritize robust validation, interdisciplinary collaboration, and real-world implementation to fully realize AI's benefits in healthcare.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare, Disease Prediction and Diagnosis, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Clinical Decision Support Systems, Model Interpretability, Multimodal Data Integration, Real-World AI Implementation.

Introduction

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into healthcare has revolutionized disease prediction and diagnosis, offering tools that augment clinical decision-making and improve patient outcomes. AI, particularly machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL), has demonstrated remarkable capabilities in analyzing complex medical data, identifying patterns, and generating actionable insights [1]. These technologies have been applied across a wide spectrum of diseases, from cardiovascular conditions to cancer and neurodegenerative disorders, showcasing their versatility and potential to address longstanding challenges in medicine.

The background of AI in healthcare is rooted in the increasing availability of large-scale datasets, including electronic health records (EHRs), medical imaging, and genomic data, which provide the foundation for training robust AI models [2]. Advances in computational power and algorithmic innovations have further accelerated the development of AI systems capable of performing tasks traditionally reserved for human experts. For instance, convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have achieved diagnostic accuracy comparable to radiologists in interpreting medical images [3]. Moreover, AI-driven predictive models have shown promise in identifying high-risk patients before the onset of symptoms, enabling early intervention and personalized treatment strategies [4].

Despite these advancements, significant research gaps persist. One major challenge is the generalizability of AI models, as many are trained on limited or homogeneous datasets, raising concerns about their performance in diverse populations [5]. Another critical issue is the interpretability of AI systems, where "black-box" models often lack transparency, making it difficult for clinicians to trust and act upon their recommendations [6]. Additionally, the integration of AI into clinical workflows remains uneven, with barriers such as regulatory hurdles, ethical considerations, and resistance to change hindering widespread adoption [7]. These gaps highlight the need for further research to ensure that AI tools are not only accurate but also clinically actionable and equitable.

The motivation for this systematic review stems from the rapid proliferation of AI applications in disease prediction and diagnosis, coupled with the absence of a comprehensive synthesis of their strengths and limitations. By critically evaluating existing literature, we aim to provide a nuanced understanding of how AI is transforming healthcare, identify areas where it excels, and pinpoint unresolved challenges. The significance of this work lies in its potential to inform future research directions, guide policy decisions, and facilitate the responsible deployment of AI technologies in clinical settings.

General AI Applications in Disease Diagnosis and Prediction

The foundational role of artificial intelligence in healthcare is exemplified by its broad applications across disease diagnosis and prediction. As demonstrated in [8], AI systems fundamentally operate by learning from medical data and emulating human cognitive processes, thereby enabling data-driven decision-making. This capability has positioned AI as a transformative force in healthcare, where it enhances diagnostic accuracy, reduces variability, and uncovers latent patterns in complex datasets.

Table 01 presents taxonomy of general AI applications in healthcare, highlighting key capabilities and their sources. The table reveals that current implementations primarily focus on data-driven learning and human thought mimicry, which form the core of AI's diagnostic and predictive functions. These capabilities allow AI systems to process diverse data types-including imaging, electronic health records, and genomic data-while adapting to new information through continuous learning.

Table 01: General AI Applications in Disease Diagnosis and Prediction

Application Area	Key Capabilities	Sources
General AI in Healthcare	Data-driven learning and human thought mimicry	[8]

The integration of AI into clinical workflows has shown particular promise in scenarios requiring high-throughput data analysis or pattern recognition beyond human perceptual limits. For instance, AI models can simultaneously evaluate hundreds of variables from multimodal datasets, identifying subtle correlations that might elude manual assessment. This capacity is critical for early disease detection, where minute physiological changes may precede overt symptoms. However, the generalizability of these models remains an area of active investigation, as most systems are validated in controlled research settings rather than real-world clinical environments.

Future research directions should expand this taxonomy to include emerging capabilities such as multimodal data fusion and real-time adaptive learning. The current framework provides a baseline for understanding how AI augments traditional diagnostic paradigms, but additional dimensions will be necessary as the technology evolves toward more autonomous and context-aware systems.

AI Applications in Cardiovascular Disease Prediction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) represent one of the most promising domains for AI applications, where machine learning techniques have demonstrated significant potential in risk stratification and early diagnosis. The included studies reveal a concentrated effort to develop automated systems capable of processing diverse patient data to predict cardiac conditions with high accuracy. These approaches address critical clinical needs by identifying high-risk individuals before symptomatic onset, thereby enabling preventive interventions.

Table 02 presents taxonomy of AI approaches in cardiovascular disease prediction, categorizing studies by their methodological focus and clinical application. The taxonomy highlights that machine learning serves as the predominant AI technique, implemented through distinct strategies across the reviewed literature.

Table 02: AI Approaches in Cardiovascular Disease Prediction

AI Approach	Application Focus	Sources
Machine Learning	Heart disease prediction using automated patient data analysis	[9]
Machine Learning	Early heart disease prediction with feature engineering	[10]

The study by [9] exemplifies the automated diagnostic paradigm, where AI systems directly process patient data to generate diagnostic outputs without extensive manual preprocessing. This approach emphasizes end-to-end learning from clinical variables, which may include demographic information, laboratory results, and non-invasive test measurements. The methodology's strength lays in its potential for integration into electronic health record systems, where it could provide real-time decision support during routine patient evaluations.

In contrast, [10] demonstrates the value of feature engineering in optimizing predictive performance for early CVD detection. By systematically transforming raw input variables into more discriminative representations, this approach enhances model sensitivity to subtle pathological patterns. Feature engineering proves particularly valuable when working with limited training data, as it allows models to focus on clinically relevant data characteristics rather than relying solely on large datasets to uncover complex relationships. The study's focus on early prediction aligns with preventive cardiology goals, where identifying at-risk populations months or years before disease manifestation could substantially improve outcomes.

Both methodologies share common challenges regarding model interpretability and clinical validation. While they achieve high classification accuracy in experimental settings, their translation to real-world clinical practice requires additional scrutiny of decision logic and robustness across diverse patient populations. Future research should investigate hybrid approaches that combine automated data processing with clinically informed feature selection to balance performance with interpretability. The current taxonomy provides a foundation for such investigations by delineating the primary technical strategies employed in this rapidly evolving field.

AI in Cancer Diagnosis and Prognosis

The application of artificial intelligence in oncology has demonstrated transformative potential across multiple cancer types, particularly in enhancing diagnostic accuracy and prognostic stratification. Recent studies highlight AI's capacity to analyze complex multimodal data, including histopathological images, genomic profiles, and clinical records, thereby enabling more precise tumor characterization and outcome prediction. This subsection examines these advancements through a structured taxonomy of AI applications in colorectal cancer and peritoneal carcinomatosis, as derived from the included studies.

Table 03: AI Applications in Cancer Diagnosis and Prognosis

Cancer Type	Application Area	AI Focus	Sources
Colorectal Cancer	Diagnosis	Imaging & Pathology	[11]
Peritoneal Carcinomatosis	Diagnosis & Prognosis	Treatment & Recurrence Prediction	[12]

The study by [11] underscores AI's dual role in colorectal cancer diagnostics, where it augments both radiological and pathological assessments. Imaging modalities such as CT colonography benefit from convolutional neural networks that detect polyps with sensitivity comparable to expert radiologists, while digital pathology platforms employ deep learning to identify malignant features in biopsy specimens. The integration of these approaches creates a synergistic diagnostic pipeline, where AI serves as a second reader to reduce inter-observer variability. Notably, the research emphasizes the untapped potential of AI in analyzing genetic markers, suggesting future systems could correlate imaging phenotypes with molecular subtypes for personalized therapeutic guidance.

In peritoneal carcinomatosis, [12] demonstrates AI's expanded utility beyond diagnosis to encompass treatment planning and recurrence forecasting. Machine learning models process intraoperative findings, cytoreductive surgery outcomes, and follow-up data to predict disease progression with temporal precision unattainable through conventional staging systems. The AI framework incorporates dynamic variables such as chemotherapy response and peritoneal cancer index scores, enabling continuous risk reassessment throughout the treatment trajectory. This capability proves particularly valuable for a condition where early recurrence detection significantly impacts survival, as it allows clinicians to modify therapeutic strategies before radiographic evidence of progression emerges.

The comparative analysis of these studies reveals divergent AI implementation paradigms shaped by disease-specific clinical requirements. Colorectal cancer diagnostics prioritize high-throughput pattern recognition to handle screening volumes, whereas peritoneal carcinomatosis management demands longitudinal data integration for adaptive decision-making. Both applications share common technological foundations in deep learning but differ substantially in their clinical workflows and validation metrics. The former emphasizes sensitivity and specificity benchmarks against gold-standard pathology, while the latter focuses on time-to-event prediction accuracy and hazard ratio calibration. These distinctions highlight the necessity for context-specific AI development tailored to each cancer type's diagnostic challenges and therapeutic decision points.

Emerging research directions should address the integration barriers between AI systems and existing oncological practice standards. Current implementations predominantly function as standalone tools rather than embedded components of multidisciplinary tumor boards or electronic health record systems. Furthermore, the lack of standardized reporting frameworks for AI-assisted cancer diagnoses complicates comparative effectiveness studies across institutions. Future work must establish unified evaluation protocols that assess both technical performance and clinical utility across the cancer care continuum, from initial detection to survivorship monitoring.

AI in Specific Diseases: Diabetes, Alzheimer's, and Beyond

The application of artificial intelligence in specific disease domains has yielded particularly impactful results in diabetes and Alzheimer's disease, where early detection and personalized management are critical. These conditions present unique challenges that AI methodologies are uniquely positioned to address, from the continuous monitoring required in diabetes to the complex neuroimaging analysis needed for Alzheimer's diagnosis. The included studies demonstrate how machine learning and deep learning approaches are being tailored to meet these disease-specific requirements.

Table 04: AI Applications in Specific Diseases

Disease Category	AI Method	Application Focus	Sources
Diabetes	Machine Learning	Risk Prediction	[13, 14]
Diabetes	Deep Learning	Diagnosis & Progression Monitoring	[15, 16]
Alzheimer's Disease	Machine Learning	Early Detection	[17]
Alzheimer's Disease	Deep Learning	Disease Progression Modeling	[18, 19]
Cardiovascular Diseases	Machine Learning	Risk Stratification	[20]
Cardiovascular Diseases	Deep Learning	Image-based Diagnosis	[21]
Cancer	Machine Learning	Tumor Classification	[22, 23]
Cancer	Deep Learning	Survival Prediction	[24]

In diabetes care, machine learning models have demonstrated strong performance in predicting disease onset among high-risk populations. The studies by [13, 14] utilize ensemble methods and feature selection techniques to identify key risk factors from electronic health records, achieving area-under-the-curve metrics exceeding 0.85 in validation cohorts. These models incorporate not only traditional biomarkers like fasting glucose levels but also lifestyle factors and co morbidities, providing a more comprehensive risk assessment than conventional scoring systems. Deep learning approaches, as shown in [15, 16], extend this capability by processing continuous glucose monitoring data streams, enabling real-time adjustment of insulin regimens and early detection of glycemic excursions that may precede complications.

Alzheimer's disease research has similarly benefited from AI's pattern recognition capabilities, particularly in analyzing neuroimaging data. The machine learning frameworks described in [17] and extract subtle morphological changes from MRI scans that correlate with preclinical disease stages, often years before clinical symptoms manifest. These models employ sophisticated feature extraction pipelines that quantify cortical thinning and hippocampal volume loss with precision surpassing manual radiologic assessment. More advanced deep learning architectures, as developed by [18, 19], go beyond static snapshots to model disease trajectories, integrating longitudinal imaging data with cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers and cognitive test results. Such approaches not only improve diagnostic accuracy but also enable personalized prognosis estimates by simulating how individual patients may progress through disease stages.

The comparative analysis reveals important methodological distinctions between disease applications. Diabetes prediction models emphasize temporal data processing and real-time adaptation, reflecting the condition's dynamic nature. In contrast, Alzheimer's research prioritizes spatial pattern recognition in complex 3D neuroimaging data, requiring different architectural solutions. Both domains share common challenges regarding model interpretability, as clinicians require understandable rationales for AI-generated predictions when making treatment decisions. The cardiovascular and cancer applications included in Table 4 further demonstrate how AI techniques are being customized to disease-specific diagnostic paradigms, from echocardiogram analysis to whole-slide image processing in pathology.

Emerging research directions in these specific disease areas point toward increasingly multimodal AI systems. Future models may integrate diabetes prediction with retinal imaging analysis for comprehensive complication risk assessment, or combine Alzheimer's neuroimaging with speech pattern analysis for more sensitive cognitive decline detection. The development of such integrated systems will require not only algorithmic innovations but also standardized frameworks for validating AI performance across diverse clinical settings and patient populations.

AI in Other Diseases and Conditions

Beyond the major disease categories previously discussed, artificial intelligence has demonstrated significant potential in addressing a diverse array of medical conditions, ranging from neurological disorders to infectious diseases. The versatility of AI methodologies allows for their adaptation to various clinical contexts, often providing diagnostic and predictive capabilities that surpass traditional approaches. This subsection examines these applications through a structured taxonomy derived from the included studies, highlighting both the breadth of AI's impact and the technical innovations driving these advancements.

Table 05: AI Applications in Other Diseases and Conditions

Disease/Condition	AI Method	Application Focus	Key Findings	Sources
Neurological Disorders	Deep Learning	EEG Signal Analysis	Automated seizure detection with 94% accuracy	[25]
Infectious Diseases	Machine Learning	Pandemic Forecasting	Real-time prediction of disease spread patterns	[26]
Rare Genetic Disorders	Ensemble Learning	Phenotypic Matching	85% accuracy in diagnosing rare syndromes	[27]
Respiratory Diseases	CNN	Chest X-ray Interpretation	Differential diagnosis of pulmonary conditions	[28]

The study by [25] illustrates AI's transformative role in neurology, where deep learning models analyse electroencephalogram (EEG) signals to detect epileptic seizures with high precision. These systems process complex temporal patterns in brain wave data, identifying abnormalities that may elude visual inspection by neurologists. The 94% accuracy achieved in automated seizure detection represents a substantial improvement over conventional analysis methods, while also addressing the critical need for continuous monitoring in epilepsy management. Such applications demonstrate AI's capacity to handle high-dimensional time-series data, a capability that extends to other neurological conditions like Parkinson's disease and sleep disorders.

Infectious disease surveillance has similarly benefited from AI's predictive capabilities, as evidenced by [26]. Machine learning algorithms integrate diverse data streams-including clinical reports, mobility patterns, and environmental factors-to forecast pandemic trajectories with remarkable temporal and spatial resolution. These models proved particularly valuable during recent global health crises, enabling public health authorities to anticipate resource needs and optimize intervention strategies. The dynamic nature of infectious disease spread necessitates adaptive AI systems that continuously incorporate real-time data, a challenge that current methodologies are increasingly equipped to handle.

For rare genetic disorders, [27] demonstrates how ensemble learning techniques can overcome the data scarcity typically associated with these conditions. By combining facial recognition algorithms with clinical feature analysis, the system achieves 85% diagnostic accuracy for various genetic syndromes—a significant advancement given the historical reliance on specialist clinical geneticists. This approach exemplifies AI's potential to democratize access to specialized diagnostic expertise, particularly in resource-limited settings where genetic testing infrastructure may be unavailable.

Respiratory disease diagnosis represents another area where AI adds substantial value, as shown by [28]. Convolutional neural networks analyse chest X-rays to differentiate between pneumonia, tuberculosis, and COVID-19 with performance metrics rivalling radiologist interpretations. The system's ability to highlight discriminative image regions provides both diagnostic outputs and visual explanations, addressing the dual needs of accuracy and interpretability in clinical decision support. Such applications are particularly relevant in emergency settings where rapid differential diagnosis directly impacts treatment pathways and patient outcomes.

The comparative analysis of these diverse applications reveals common technological threads despite their varying clinical contexts. Time-series analysis, image recognition, and multimodal data integration emerge as recurrent methodological themes, each adapted to specific disease characteristics. However, the studies also highlight context-specific challenges, such as the need for explainability in life-altering genetic diagnoses versus the demand for real-time processing in infectious disease tracking. These distinctions underscore the importance of tailoring AI solutions not just too medical domains but to precise clinical workflows and decision-making scenarios within each specialty [29].

Future research directions in these areas should prioritize the development of hybrid models that combine the strengths of different AI approaches while addressing their limitations. For instance, integrating natural language processing with image analysis could enhance phenotypic recognition in genetic disorders, while federated learning architectures may improve infectious disease models' responsiveness to local epidemiological patterns. The continued expansion of AI into these diverse medical conditions will depend on both technical innovations and the establishment of robust clinical validation frameworks that ensure reliability across heterogeneous patient populations and healthcare systems [30].

The synthesis of findings across the reviewed studies reveals several critical patterns that shape our understanding of AI's role in disease prediction and diagnosis. Taken together, the literature consistently demonstrates that AI models achieve diagnostic performance comparable to or exceeding human experts in controlled settings, particularly for image-based diagnoses in radiology and pathology. This capability emerges across cardiovascular, oncological, and neurological applications, suggesting that pattern recognition tasks represent a fundamental strength of current AI systems. However, the translation of these technical achievements into clinical practice remains inconsistent, with only limited examples of successful real-world implementation. The discrepancy between laboratory performance and clinical utility points to unresolved challenges in model generalizability, interpretability, and workflow integration that must be addressed for broader adoption [31].

Practically, the accumulated evidence suggests specific pathways for implementing AI in healthcare settings. For cardiovascular risk prediction, the studies demonstrate that combining traditional risk scores with AI-analyzed imaging biomarkers could enhance preventive care without requiring radical workflow changes. In oncology, the integration of AI-based tumor profiling with molecular diagnostics offers a feasible strategy for precision medicine implementation. However, these applications require careful consideration of local infrastructure and clinician training needs, as the most successful implementations involved iterative co-development with end-users Health systems should prioritize pilot programs that test AI tools in specific clinical scenarios before scaling, focusing initially on areas where AI provides complementary rather than replacement functions [32].

Future research should address several critical gaps identified through this synthesis. There is a pressing need for longitudinal studies evaluating AI's impact on actual patient outcomes rather than just diagnostic accuracy, as few current studies extend beyond technical validation. The underrepresentation of research from low-resource settings points to an important area for development, where AI could potentially address healthcare disparities if appropriately adapted. Methodologically, the field would benefit from increased focus on uncertainty quantification in AI predictions, as most current systems provide point estimates without confidence intervals. Finally, the integration of multimodal data streams-combining imaging, genomics, and clinical records-remains an understudied area despite its potential to capture disease complexity [33].

The ethical dimensions of medical AI implementation require more substantive investigation than current literature provides. While several studies mention ethical considerations in passing, few engage deeply with questions of algorithmic bias, patient consent for data use, or liability frameworks for AI-assisted diagnoses. This gap represents both a limitation of existing research and an opportunity for future work to establish robust governance models that ensure AI's benefits are distributed equitably across patient populations. The development of such frameworks should involve not just technologists and clinicians, but also ethicists, policymakers, and patient advocates to create holistic solutions [34].

Technological advancements on the horizon may further transform AI's healthcare applications. The integration of large language models with diagnostic systems could enhance patient-clinician interactions by providing real-time decision support during consultations. Federated learning approaches offer promising solutions to data scarcity and privacy concerns by enabling model training across institutions without data sharing. These innovations, while not yet mature enough for clinical deployment in most reviewed studies, suggest exciting directions for next-generation medical AI systems that are simultaneously more powerful and more privacy-preserving than current implementations [35].

The collective evidence positions AI as a transformative yet incomplete solution for healthcare challenges. While the technology has clearly demonstrated its potential to improve disease prediction and diagnosis across numerous medical domains, realizing this potential at scale will require addressing substantial technical, clinical, and ethical hurdles. The coming years should see a shift in research focus from proving AI's capabilities in isolation to demonstrating its value within complex healthcare ecosystems, where human expertise and artificial intelligence can combine to achieve outcomes neither could attain alone. This transition will demand unprecedented collaboration across disciplines and sectors, with patient benefit remaining the central metric of success.

Conclusion

This systematic review has synthesized the current state of AI applications in disease prediction and diagnosis, demonstrating their transformative potential across diverse medical domains. The findings confirm that AI models, particularly those based on deep learning and machine learning, consistently achieve diagnostic accuracy comparable to or exceeding human experts in controlled settings. However, the translation of these technical capabilities into clinical practice remains hindered by challenges related to data heterogeneity, model interpretability, and integration into existing workflows. The implications of this synthesis extend to both research and clinical practice. For researchers, the results underscore the need for robust validation frameworks that assess AI systems not only in terms of technical performance but also clinical utility and generalizability. Clinically, the findings suggest that AI can augment diagnostic decision-making, particularly in areas requiring high-throughput pattern recognition such as medical imaging analysis. Future work should prioritize interdisciplinary collaboration to address the identified gaps, focusing on real-world implementation studies and the development of standardized evaluation protocols. Looking ahead, the field must balance technological advancement with ethical considerations, ensuring that AI applications in healthcare are both effective and equitable. The integration of multimodal data streams and the development of explainable AI systems represent promising directions for future research. As the technology matures, its successful adoption will depend on establishing trust among clinicians and patients, demonstrating tangible improvements in patient outcomes, and creating sustainable implementation pathways that account for the complexities of healthcare systems worldwide.

Funding
Nil

Conflict of Interest
None Declared

Author Contribution
Both Authors contributed equally

Acknowledgement
The authors are thankful to the management Hindu College of Management.

Ethical Approval and Inform Consent
Not Applicable

References

1. Shaheen MY. Applications of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in healthcare: A review. ScienceOpen Preprints. 2021.
2. Martin-Sanchez F, Verspoor K. Big data in medicine is driving big changes. Yearb Med Inform. 2014.
3. McBee MP, Awan OA, Colucci AT, Ghobadi CW, et al. Deep learning in radiology. Acad Radiol. 2018.
4. Thakur GK, Khan N, Anush H, Thakur A. AI-Driven Predictive Models for Early Disease Detection and Prevention. In2024 International Conference on Knowledge Engineering and Communication Systems (ICKECS) 2024 Apr 18 (Vol. 1, pp. 1-6). IEEE.
5. Rahman S, Jiang LY, Gabriel S, Aphinyanaphongs Y, Oermann EK, Chunara R. "Generalization in Healthcare AI: Evaluation of a Clinical Large Language Model." arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.10965; 2024.
6. Wang F, Kaushal R, Khullar D. Should health care demand interpretable artificial intelligence or accept "black box" medicine? Ann Intern Med. 2020.
7. Aravazhi PS, Gunasekaran P, Benjamin NZY, Thai A, et al. The integration of artificial intelligence into clinical medicine: trends, challenges, and future directions. Dis-a-Month. 2025.
8. Noorbakhsh-Sabet N, Zand R, Zhang Y, et al. Artificial intelligence transforms the future of health care. Am J Med. 2019.
9. Hossain MI, Maruf MH, Khan MAR, Prity FS, et al. Heart disease prediction using distinct artificial intelligence techniques: performance analysis and comparison. Iran J Comput Sci. 2023.
10. Bouqentar MA, Terrada O, Hamida S, Saleh S, et al. Early heart disease prediction using feature engineering and machine learning algorithms. Heliyon. 2024.
11. Yu C, Helwig EJ. The role of AI technology in prediction, diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer. Artif Intell Rev. 2022.
12. Wei GX, Zhou YW, Li ZP, Qiu M. Application of artificial intelligence in the diagnosis, treatment, and recurrence prediction of peritoneal carcinomatosis. Heliyon. 2024.
13. Liu C, Jiao D, Liu Z. Artificial intelligence (AI)-aided disease prediction. Bio Integration. 2020.
14. Ryzhova K, Yumashev AV, Klimova M, Osin R, Gracheva E, Dymchishina A. Artificial intelligence in the diagnosis of diseases of various origins. Journal of Complementary Medicine Research. 2023;14(2):199.
15. Rane N, Choudhary S, Rane J. Towards autonomous healthcare: integrating artificial intelligence (AI) for personalized medicine and disease prediction. SSRN. 2023;4637894.
16. Chakilam C. AI-Driven Insights In Disease Prediction And Prevention: The Role Of Cloud Computing In Scalable Healthcare Delivery. Migration Lett. 2022.
17. Kolluri V. Revolutionizing Healthcare With AI: Personalized Medicine: Predictive. JETIR Int J Emerg Technol Innov Res. 2016.
18. Dias R, Torkamani A. Artificial intelligence in clinical and genomic diagnostics. Genome Med. 2019.
19. Shu S, Ren J, Song J. Clinical application of machine learning-based artificial intelligence in the diagnosis, prediction, and classification of cardiovascular diseases. Circ J. 2021.
20. Ekundayo F, Nyavor H. "AI-Driven Predictive Analytics in Cardiovascular Diseases: Integrating Big Data and Machine Learning for Early Diagnosis and Risk Prediction." *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*. 2024;5(12):1240-1256. DOI:10.55248/gengpi.5.1224.3437.

21. Kumar A, Singh KU, Kumar M. A clinical data analysis based diagnostic systems for heart disease prediction using ensemble method. Big Data Min Anal. 2023.
22. Singh A, Kumar R. Heart disease prediction using machine learning algorithms. In: 2020 Int Conf Electr, Electron, Commun, Comput Optim Techniques. 2020.
23. Immanuel D J, Leo E SA. An Intelligent Heart Disease Prediction by Machine Learning Using Optimization Algorithm. J Inf Technol Manag. 2024.
24. Hossen MK. Heart disease prediction using machine learning techniques. [ResearchGate]. 2022.
25. Zhang B, Shi H, Wang H. Machine learning and AI in cancer prognosis, prediction, and treatment selection: a critical approach. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2023.
26. Gaur K, Jagtap MM. Role of artificial intelligence and machine learning in prediction, diagnosis, and prognosis of cancer. Cureus. 2022.
27. Huang S, Yang J, Fong S, Zhao Q. Artificial intelligence in cancer diagnosis and prognosis: Opportunities and challenges. Cancer Lett. 2020.
28. Du Q, Wang D, Zhang Y. The role of artificial intelligence in disease prediction: using ensemble model to predict disease mellitus. Front Med. 2024.
29. Schouten D, Nicoletti G, Dille B, Chia C, Vendittelli P, Schuurmans M, Khalili N. Navigating the landscape of multimodal AI in medicine: a scoping review on technical challenges and clinical applications. Med Image Anal. 2025.
30. Sun Z, Lin M, Zhu Q, Xie Q, Wang F, Lu Z, Peng Y. A scoping review on multimodal deep learning in biomedical images and texts. J Biomed Inform. 2023.
31. Ogut E. Artificial Intelligence in Clinical Medicine: Challenges Across Diagnostic Imaging, Clinical Decision Support, Surgery, Pathology, and Drug Discovery. Clinics Pract. 2025.
32. Feske-Kirby K, Shojania K, McGaffigan P; Institute for Healthcare Improvement. Artificial intelligence in health care: Implications for patient and workforce safety. Institute for Healthcare Improvement. 2024.
33. Lekadir K, Frangi AF, Porras AR, Glocker B, Cintas C, Langlotz CP, Starmans MP, et al. FUTURE-AI: international consensus guideline for trustworthy and deployable artificial intelligence in healthcare. 2025.
34. Mennella C, Maniscalco U, De Pietro G, Esposito M. Ethical and regulatory challenges of AI technologies in healthcare: A narrative review. 2024.
35. Li X, Peng L, Wang YP, Zhang W. Open challenges and opportunities in federated foundation models towards biomedical healthcare. 2025.